

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWELVETH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND SIX

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NOOTY RAMAMOHANA RAO

-
WPMP.No.20573 of 2006 and
WRIT PETITION No.13594 of 2002

Between:

T.Pandurangam

..... PETITIONER

AND

Government of Andhra Pradesh and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

-

The Hon'ble Sri Justice Bilal Nazki
and
The Hon'ble Sri Justice Nooty Ramamohana Rao

-
WPMP.No.20573 of 2006 and
WRIT PETITION No.13594 of 2002

Common Order: *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Bilal Nazki)*

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

WPMP.No.20573 of 2006 seeking to set aside

the order in W.P.No.13594, dated 20-7-2006 is allowed and the Writ Petition is restored to its file.

W.P.No.13594 of 2002

The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the Writ petition is not required to be decided on merits in view of the subsequent developments. He submits that along with this Writ Petition, petitioner had filed an appeal on administrative side before the High Court against the order of punishment imposed by the third respondent-District Judge, Kadapa. The High Court had already allowed the petitioner's appeal *vide* ROC.No.129/2000, CII/1, dated 29-10-2002, and remitted the matter to the third respondent for fresh consideration. In pursuance of the orders of the High Court, the third respondent-District Judge, Kadapa, had also passed an order *vide* Dis.No.7750/05, dated 26-10-2005, accepting the findings of the enquiry officer and dropped all the charges framed against the petitioner.

Since the charges framed against the petitioner have been dropped and the punishment given to him has been revoked, no grievance remains to be redressed in the Writ Petition.

The Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(Bilal Nazki,
J)

-
12th October, 2006

(Nooty Ramamohana Rao, J)

LUR